Ceratosaurus Invs., LLC v B2C Alternative Equity, LLC

2025 NY Slip Op 33024(U)

August 7, 2025

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 653758/2024

Judge: Andrew Borrok

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 67

INDEX NO. 653758/2024 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/07/2025

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

PRESENT:	HON. ANDREW BORROK	PART	53	
	Justice	•		
	X	INDEX NO.	653758/2024	
CERATOSA LLC	URUS INVESTORS, LLC,BOWAY HOLDINGS,	MOTION DATE	06/10/2025, 06/10/2025	
	Plaintiff,	MOTION SEQ. NO.		
	- V -			
B2C ALTER	NATIVE EQUITY, LLC,RON CHARNIS,		DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION	
	Defendant.			
	X			
	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document 5, 37, 38, 39, 40, 48, 50, 52, 56, 57, 58	number (Motion 002) 28	3, 29, 30, 31, 32,	
were read on	this motion to/for	DISCOVERY		
	e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document , 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65	number (Motion 003) 4	1, 42, 43, 44, 45,	
were read on	this motion to/for	MISCELLANEOUS		
Upon the for	egoing documents, the plaintiffs' motion (Mtr	. Seq. No. 002) to cor	npel is	
GRANTED 1	to the extent that the defendants are directed to	produce all documen	its responsive to	
the plaintiffs	' first RFP Nos. 1, 2, 11, 16, 26, 58, and 59, ex	scept to the extent that	t these	
documents co	ontain or consist of the financial information of	of B2C Alternative Eq	uity, LLC (B2C)	
and Ron Cha	rnis. ¹			
CPLR § 310	1 requires full disclosure of all matter material	and necessary in the	prosecution or	
defense of an	action. As a guiding principle, the words "m	aterial and necessary"	are to be	
"interpreted l	liberally to require disclosure of any facts	bearing on the controv	versy' (Rivera v	

653758/2024 CERATOSAURUS INVESTORS, LLC ET AL vs. B2C ALTERNATIVE EQUITY, LLC ET AL

Page 1 of 4

¹ The plaintiffs' motion (Mtn. Seq. No. 002) to compel, as to the matter of last known addresses of individuals identified in interrogatory responses, has been resolved by the parties (NYSCEF Doc. No. 56 ¶ 13).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 67

INDEX NO. 653758/2024

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/07/2025

NYP Holdings Inc., 63 AD3d 469, 469 [1st Dept 2009] citing Allen v Crowell-Collier Publ. Co., 21 NY2d 403, 406 (1968)]). A party seeking to avoid disclosure bears the burden of showing that the disclosure sought is improper (Roman Catholic Church of the Good Shepherd v Tempco Systems, 202 AD2d 257, 258 [1st Dept 1994]).

Although plaintiffs are entitled to discovery of the communications surrounding and related to the contemplated Assignment of Claim and the Trade Confirmation as material and necessary to the claims in this case (CPLR § 3101 [a]; Matter of Kapon v Koch, 23 NY3d 32, 38 [2014]), the plaintiffs are not entitled to the financial information of B2C and Mr. Charnis because the plaintiffs are not entitled to what amounts to post-judgment collections discovery at this time. As such, their motion is granted to the extent that the defendants shall produce all documents responsive to the plaintiffs' first RFP Nos. 1, 2, 11, 16, 26, 58, and 59, except to the extent that these documents contain or consist of the financial information of B2C and Mr. Charnis, within 14 days of this decision and order.

The defendants' motion (Mtn. Seq. No. 003) to compel is GRANTED to the extent that the plaintiffs are directed to produce all documents responsive to defendants' RFP Nos. 7, 8, 9, and 10 within 14 days of this decision and order.² Central to the dispute is whether the positions taken by the plaintiff with respect to the Assignment of Claim included "customary representations, warranties, covenants, agreements, indemnities, recourse and other provisions for the sale of a bankruptcy claim" (NYSCEF Doc. No. 1 ¶ 39) or whether the positions taken by the plaintiff were inconsistent with those that are customary. Material and necessary to the

2 of 4

² The defendant's motion (Mtn. Seq. No. 003) to compel, as to defendants' RFP Nos. 5 and 6, as well as the dispute on the definition of a "bankruptcy claim", has been resolved by the parties (NYSCEF Doc. No. 59 at 19-22).

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/07/2025 04:52 PM

NYSCEE DOC NO 67

INDEX NO. 653758/2024

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/07/2025

position advanced by the defendants includes understanding how the plaintiffs settled other trades, including trade confirmations and assignment of claim agreements with others. Thus, the defendants' motion to compel is granted in its entirety. The defendants are entitled to this quantum of information from November 22, 2022 (when FTX filed for bankruptcy) until present. The plaintiffs arbitrary attempt to limit their production based on when these parties began transacting is simply without credible legal basis under the circumstances. Ultimately, this is a matter for the experts to opine on including if events occurred which changed the manner in which the plaintiffs did business, and why, not a basis to limit discovery. Finally, the plaintiffs must produce documents relating to their alleged damages up to the present as they allege that their damages continue to accrue pursuant to RFP Nos. 11 and 13 within 14 days of this decision

Accordingly, it is hereby

and order.

ORDERED that the plaintiffs' motion (Mtn. Seq. No. 2) to compel is granted to the extent that that the defendants are directed to produce all documents responsive to the plaintiffs' first RFP Nos. 1, 2, 11, 16, 26, 58, and 59, except to the extent that these documents contain or consist of the financial information of B2C and Mr. Charnis, within 14 days of this Decision and Order; and it is further

ORDERED that the defendants' motion (Mtn. Seq. No. 3) to compel is granted to the extent that the plaintiffs are directed to produce all documents responsive to defendants' RFP Nos. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 13 to the extent set forth above, within 14 days of this Decision and Order.

653758/2024 CERATOSAURUS INVESTORS, LLC ET AL vs. B2C ALTERNATIVE EQUITY, LLC ET AL

Motion No. 002 003

Page 3 of 4

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/07/2025 04:52 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 67

INDEX NO. 653758/2024
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/07/2025

		20/5 080/0952 33ABORROKFE885%C9141D49CDBBD6D7F576331C53
8/7/2025		
DATE		ANDREW BORROK, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	CASE DISPOSED	X NON-FINAL DISPOSITION
	GRANTED DENIED	X GRANTED IN PART OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE