W	/aam	Viel	dea	LIC	'v Fi	ank
	aann		uco		. V I'I	allh

2025 NY Slip Op 33495(U)

September 16, 2025

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 653371/2025

Judge: Andrew Borrok

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 20

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/16/2025

COUNTY OF NEW YORK:	COMMERCIAL DIVISION	PART 53				
WAAM YIELDCO LLC,		INDEX NO.	653371/2025			
	Plaintiff,	MOTION DATE	06/02/2025			
- V -						
SEAN FRANK, CLOUD EQUITY	GROUP SOFI GP LLC	MOTION SEQ. NO.	001			
Defendant.		DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION				
HON. ANDREW BORROK:	X					
The following e-filed documents, li	sted by NYSCEF document nur	nber (Motion 001) 2,	12, 15, 16, 17,			
vere read on this motion to/for JUDGMENT - SUMMARY IN LIEU OF COMPLAINT						
Upon the foregoing documents,	Waam Yieldco LLC (the Ler	nder)'s motion (Mtr	n. Seq. No. 001			
for summary judgment in lieu of	f complaint pursuant to CPLR	§ 3213 is GRANT	ED.			

CUDDENIE COUDT OF THE CTATE OF NEW YORK

Pursuant to the terms of the First Forbearance Agreement (hereinafter defined), the parties expressly agreed that the Note (hereinafter defined) is an instrument for the payment of money only and that the Lender could bring an action pursuant to CPLR § 3213 in the event of non-payment:

The Maker hereby irrevocably agrees that this Note is an instrument for the payment of money only, and it shall be construed as an instrument for the payment of money only under New York Civil Practice Law and Rules ("CPLR") § 3213. In the event of non-payment or any default under the Note, the Lender may bring an action based on this Note by motion for summary judgment in lieu of a complaint, as permitted under CPLR § 3213.

(NYSCEF Doc. No. 6 § 3[f]). It is also undisputed that there has been a maturity default (NYSCEF Doc. No. 3 § 20; *Davimos v Halle*, 35 AD3d 270, 272 [1st Dept 2006]; *Zuckerman v*

653371/2025 WAAM YIELDCO LLC vs. FRANK, SEAN ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 1 of 7

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 20 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/16/2025

New York, 49 NY2d 557, 562 [1980]). The defendants in this case fail to raise an issue of fact and or defense warranting further proceeding (NYSCEF Doc. No. 7 § 3[a]).

THE RELEVANT FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES

Reference is made to (i) a certain Promissory Note (the **Note**; NYSCEF Doc. No. 4), dated September 26, 2024, by and between Cloud Equity Group Special Opportunities Fund II LP and Cloud Equity Group SOFI GP LLC, (ii) a Payment Guaranty (the **Guaranty**; NYSCEF Doc. No. 5), dated September 26, 2024, by Sean Frank (the **Guarantor**), in favor of the Lender, (iii) a Forbearance Agreement and Amendment to the Promissory Note (the **First Forbearance Agreement**; NYSCEF Doc. No. 6), dated January 8, 2025, by and between Cloud Equity Group SOFI GP LLC (the **Borrower**) and the Lender, and (iv) a Second Forbearance Agreement and Second Amendment to the Promissory Note (the **Second Forbearance Agreement**; NYSCEF Doc. No. 7), dated March 28, 2025, by and between the Borrower and the Lender.

Pursuant to the Note, the Lender loaned (the **Loan**) the Borrower \$3,300,000, plus interest (NYSCEF Doc. No. 4, at 1). The Note provides for interest to be payable at the rate of 17.5% per annum, accruing from September 26, 2025 through November 26, 2024 (NYSCEF Doc. No. 4 at 1). Following an Event of a Default, the Note provides that interest shall be payable at a rate per annum equal to the lesser of (i) the highest permissible rate under applicable usury law, or (ii) 24% per annum (the **Default Rate**; NYSCEF Doc. No. 4 at 2). The Note additionally provides that Borrower was subject to a late fee of \$50 (NYSCEF Doc. No. 4 at 2) and would pay for the Lender's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in an Event of a Default under the Note

653371/2025 WAAM YIELDCO LLC vs. FRANK, SEAN ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 2 of 7

INDEX NO. 653371/2025

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 20 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/16/2025

(NYSCEF Doc. No. 4 at 2, 5). The Note also provides that the Loan matures on November 26,

2024 (the Maturity Date; NYSCEF Doc. No. 4 at 1).

Pursuant to the terms of the First Forbearance Agreement, the parties agreed to extend the

Maturity Date to the earlier of (i) February 15, 2025 or (ii) three business days from the date

when Cloud Equity Group Special Opportunities Fund II LP, closes its first round of financing

(NYSCEF Doc. No. 6 § 3[a]). The First Forbearance Agreement provides for interest to be

payable at the Default Rate if the entire principal balance and all interest accrued is not yet paid

on the date immediately following the Maturity Date (NYSCEF Doc. No. 6 § 3[c]). As

discussed above, the First Forbearance Agreement also provides that the Note is an instrument

for the payment of money only and that the Lender could bring an action pursuant to CPLR §

3213 in the event of non-payment.

Pursuant to the terms of the Second Forbearance Agreement, the parties agreed to extend the

Maturity Date a second time until April 30, 2025, but otherwise continued to provide that

Default Rate began to accrue on February 16, 2025 (NYSCEF Doc. No. 7 § 5[a]). The Second

Forbearance Agreement also provides that if the Lender brings an action based on CPLR § 3213,

the Borrower "irrevocably agrees to waive any defense" (NYSCEF Doc. No. 7 § 3[a]).

Pursuant to the Second Forbearance Agreement, the Borrower made a payment of \$250,000 to

the Lender, to be applied first to the accrued and unpaid Default Rate interest, second to other

accrued interest, and lastly to the principal (NYSCEF Doc. No. 3 at 5). It is undisputed that

3 of 7

653371/2025 WAAM YIELDCO LLC vs. FRANK, SEAN ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 3 of 7

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 20 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/16/2025

other than this \$250,000 payment, the balance of the amounts due under the Note remain unpaid

and that there has been maturity default.

The Guaranty is a "guaranty of payment and not of collection" (NYSCEF Doc. No. 5 at 2), and

pursuant to the terms of the Guaranty, the Guarantor guaranteed the full and prompt payment of

the principal, interest, and all sums under the Note when it becomes due (NYSCEF Doc. No. 5 at

1).

On June 2, 2025, pursuant to CPLR §3213, the Lender filed the instant motion for summary

judgment in lieu of complaint to enforce the Guaranty and collect \$3,300,000, plus interest at the

rate of 17.5% accruing from September 26, 2024 to February 16, 2025, plus interest at the

Default Rate of 24% accruing as of February 16, 2025, plus the late fee of \$50, less the payment

made of \$250,000 to be applied to unpaid interest in accordance with the terms of the Second

Forbearance Agreement, together with attorneys' fees and the costs and expenses of this action

and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper (NYSCEF Doc. No. 3 at 6).

In support of their motion, the Lender adduces the Affirmation of Jarred Winer (NYSCEF Doc.

No. 3), which provides in relevant part:

18. It was agreed that beginning February 16, 2025, the Note would accrue interest

at the Default Rate of twenty-four percent (24%) per annum until paid in full.

Exhibit 4, Section 5, and Section 6(a)(iii).

19. As consideration for the Second Forbearance Agreement, Defendants made a payment of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) to WAAM, to be

applied first to accrued and unpaid Default Rate interest, second to other accrued

interest, and lastly to principal. Id. at Section 6(b)(iv).

653371/2025 WAAM YIELDCO LLC vs. FRANK, SEAN ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 4 of 7

INDEX NO. 653371/2025

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 20 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/16/2025

20. Defendants have made no further payments and remain in default of the Note, both Forbearance Agreements, and the Guaranty.

21. Pursuant to the terms of the Second Forbearance Agreement, the Note accrued interest at the contractual rate of seventeen and one-half percent (17.5%) per annum from September 26, 2024 through February 15, 2025. As of February 16, 2025, following the Borrower's continued failure to repay the outstanding principal and accrued interest, the Note began accruing interest at the Default Rate of twenty-four percent (24%) per annum.

. . .

23. With the exception of the payment of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) which was a condition for entry into the Second Forbearance Agreement, no payments have been made towards the Note, the Guaranty, the First Forbearance Agreement, and the Second Forbearance Agreement and Defendants are in default, necessitating Court intervention to enter a judgment against Defendants.

(NYSCEF Doc. No. 3 § 18–21, 23).

DISCUSSION

Pursuant to CPLR § 3213, a plaintiff may bring a summary judgment motion in lieu of complaint when the action is based on an instrument for the payment of money only. To meet its *prima facie* burden, the plaintiff must prove (1) the existence of the Note/Guaranty, (2) the underlying debt, and (3) the Borrower/Guarantor's failure to perform (*DDS Partners, LLC v Celenza*, 6 AD3d 347, 348 [1st Dept 2004]; *Davimos v Halle*, 35 AD3d 270, 272 [1st Dept 2006]). Once a *prima facie* showing is made, the defendant must present admissible evidence that raises a triable issue of fact (*Zuckerman v New York*, 49 NY2d 557, 562 [1980]).

As discussed above, the Lender adduces evidence of the Note, the Guaranty, a maturity default, and the Borrower's failure to pay \$3,300,000, plus interest (NYSCEF Doc. No. 5). Thus, the Lender meets its *prima facie* burden demonstrating its entitlement to summary judgment (*DDS*

653371/2025 WAAM YIELDCO LLC vs. FRANK, SEAN ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 5 of 7

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 20

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/16/2025

Partners, 6 AD3d at 348). In their opposition papers, the Borrower merely indicates that the Lender fails to provide the exact amount of money due but does not otherwise dispute the amount borrowed, the lack of repayment, the maturity date, the applicable interest rates, or that the loan documents otherwise provide for the payment of reasonable attorneys' fees. This is insufficient as a matter of law to raise an issue of fact warranting further proceedings (Equator International Inc. v NH Street Investors, Inc., 978 NYS2d 817, 823 [Sup Ct, NY County 2014]; Community Nat. Bank & Trust Co. of N.Y. v. I.M.F. Trading, Inc., 561 NYS2d 578, 580 [1st Dept 1990]). Thus, the motion for summary judgment in lieu of complaint is granted.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Lender's motion (Mtn. Seq. No. 001) for summary judgment in lieu of complaint pursuant to CPLR § 3213 is GRANTED; and it is further

653371/2025 WAAM YIELDCO LLC vs. FRANK, SEAN ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 6 of 7

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 20

and determine; and it is further

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/16/2025

ORDERED that the portion of the plaintiff's claim that seeks the recovery of reasonable attorney's fees and costs is severed and the issue of the amount of reasonable attorney's fees and costs that the plaintiff may recover against the defendants is referred to a Special Referee to hear

ORDERED that counsel for the plaintiff shall, within 30 days from the date of this order, serve a copy of this order with notice of entry, together with a completed Information Sheet, upon the Special Referee Clerk in the General Clerk's Office (Room 119), who is directed to place this matter on the calendar of the Special Referee's Part for the earliest convenient date; and it is further

ORDERED that such service upon the Special Referee Clerk shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Protocol on Courthouse and County Clerk Procedures for Electronically Filed Cases (accessible at the "E-Filing" page on the court's website at the address www.nycourts.gov/supctmanh)].

			20/50910/1551/14ABORROK773D5K110656	4104911A05AA3CC2CEFB
9/16/2025	_			
DATE			ANDREW BORRO	K, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	х	CASE DISPOSED	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION	
	Х	GRANTED DENIED	GRANTED IN PART	OTHER
APPLICATION:		SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER	
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:		INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT	REFERENCE

653371/2025 WAAM YIELDCO LLC vs. FRANK, SEAN ET AL Motion No. 001

Page 7 of 7